# Ruby - Feature #7907

## Give meaning to staby word

02/22/2013 03:06 AM - trans (Thomas Sawyer)

Status: Rejected

Priority: Normal

**Assignee:** matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)

Target version: 3.0

### Description

=begin

I noticed that ->word doesn't mean anything. i.e.

->foo

SyntaxError: (irb):4: syntax error, unexpected '\n', expecting keyword\_do\_LAMBDA or tLAMBEG from /opt/Ruby/1.9.3-p327/bin/irb:12:in `'

If that is always so, then could it be given a meaning as a shorthand for method()? i.e.

->foo

would be the same as writing

method(:foo).to\_proc

=end

#### Related issues:

Is duplicate of Ruby - Feature #7906: Giving meaning to ->foo Rejected 02/22/2013

### History

### #1 - 02/22/2013 09:04 AM - ko1 (Koichi Sasada)

- Description updated
- Assignee set to matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)

### #2 - 02/22/2013 12:10 PM - matz (Yukihiro Matsumoto)

- Status changed from Open to Rejected

=begin

I think filling the syntax hole eagerly is a bad idea.

Besides that, I don't think making ((%->foo%)) as method(:foo).to\_proc seems a good idea, since foo in ((%->foo $\{\}\%$ )) is a argument name, not a method name.

Matz.

=end

### #3 - 02/22/2013 12:59 PM - trans (Thomas Sawyer)

Ah, ->foo{} did not know that the parenthesis could be left out.

Ok, I'll suggest slight modification then, b/c it still would be nice to have a shorter notation (not just to fill a syntax hole). Could it be a symbol, i.e. ->: foo.

### #4 - 02/22/2013 11:49 PM - trans (Thomas Sawyer)

Once a issue has been rejected, is it necessary to open a new case for a modified form of the proposal? In other words is any one going to see the change if it has already been rejected? As with this case?

### #5 - 02/23/2013 02:05 AM - rosenfeld (Rodrigo Rosenfeld Rosas)

People will see the changes but when they're looking for open issues to decide what to do about them they won't touch closed issues:)

11/10/2025 1/1