# Ruby - Feature #15166

# 2.5 times faster implementation than current gcd implmentation

09/26/2018 06:30 PM - jzakiya (Jabari Zakiya)

Status: Assigned
Priority: Normal
Assignee: watson1978 (Shizuo Fujita)
Target version:

### **Description**

This is to be more explicit (and accurate) than https://bugs.ruby-lang.org/issues/15161

This is my modified gcd benchmarks code, originally presented by Daniel Lemire (see 15161).

https://gist.github.com/jzakiya/44eae4feeda8f6b048e19ff41a0c6566

Ruby's current implementation of Stein's gcd algorithm is only slightly faster than the code posted on the wikepedia page, and over 2.5 times slower than the fastest implementation in the benchmarks.

```
[jzakiya@localhost ~]$ ./gcdbenchmarks
gcd between numbers in [1 and 2000]
gcdwikipedia7fast32 : time = 99
gcdwikipedia4fast : time = 121
gcdFranke : time = 126
gcdwikipedia3fast : time = 134
gcdwikipedia2fastswap : time = 136
gcdwikipedia5fast : time = 139
gcdwikipedia7fast : time = 138
gcdwikipedia2fast : time = 136
gcdwikipedia6fastxchg : time = 144
gcdwikipedia2fastxchg : time = 156
gcd_iterative_mod : time = 210
gcd_recursive
                     : time = 215
basicgcd
rubygcd
                     : time = 211
                     : time = 267
gcdwikipedia2 : time = 321
gcd between numbers in [100000001 and 1000002000]
gcdwikipedia7fast32 : time = 100
gcdwikipedia4fast : time = 121
gcdFranke : time = 126
gcdwikipedia3fast : time = 134
gcdwikipedia2fastswap : time = 136
gcdwikipedia5fast : time = 138
gcdwikipedia7fast : time = 138
gcdwikipedia2fast : time = 136
gcdwikipedia6fastxchg : time = 144
gcdwikipedia2fastxchg : time = 156
gcd_recursive : time = 215
basicgcd : time = 211
rubvacd : time = 269
                     : time = 269
rubygcd
gcdwikipedia2 : time = 323
```

This is Ruby's code per: <a href="https://github.com/ruby/ruby/blob/3abbaab1a7a97d18f481164c7dc48749b86d7f39/rational.c#L285-L307">https://github.com/ruby/ruby/blob/3abbaab1a7a97d18f481164c7dc48749b86d7f39/rational.c#L285-L307</a> which is basically the wikepedia implementation.

```
inline static long
i_gcd(long x, long y)
{
   unsigned long u, v, t;
   int shift;
```

11/16/2025

```
if (x < 0)
x = -x;
   if (y < 0)
 y = -y;
  if (x == 0)
 return y;
   if (y == 0)
 return x;
  u = (unsigned long)x;
    v = (unsigned long)y;
    for (shift = 0; ((u | v) & 1) == 0; ++shift) {
 u >>= 1;
 v >>= 1;
 }
 while ((u \& 1) == 0)
u >>= 1;
 do {
while ((v \& 1) == 0)
 v >>= 1;
 if (u > v) {
    t = v;
    v = u;
  u = t;
v = v - u;
} while (v != 0);
return (long)(u << shift);
This is the fastest implementation from the benchmarks. (I originally, wrongly, cited
the implementation in the article, which is 4|5th fastest in benchmarks, but
still almost 2x faster than the Ruby implementation.)
// based on wikipedia's article,
// fixed by D. Lemire, K. Willets
unsigned int gcdwikipedia7fast32(unsigned int u, unsigned int v)
    int shift, uz, vz;
     if ( u == 0) return v;
     if ( v == 0) return u;
     uz = __builtin_ctz(u);
     vz = __builtin_ctz(v);
     shift = uz > vz ? vz : uz;
     u >>= uz;
     do {
       v >>= vz;
       int diff = v;
       diff -= u;
       if ( diff == 0 ) break;
       vz = __builtin_ctz(diff);
       if ( v < u ) u = v;
     v = abs(diff);
    } while(1);
   return u << shift;
The key to speeding up all the algorithms is using the __builtin_ctz(x) directive
```

11/16/2025 2/5

to determine the number of trailing binary '0's.

#### History

#### #1 - 09/26/2018 06:34 PM - jzakiya (Jabari Zakiya)

- Tracker changed from Bug to Feature
- Backport deleted (2.3: UNKNOWN, 2.4: UNKNOWN, 2.5: UNKNOWN)

### #2 - 09/26/2018 11:33 PM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)

- Status changed from Open to Assigned
- Assignee set to watson1978 (Shizuo Fujita)

Thanks. Assigned to @watson1978 (Shizuo Fujita).

It would be very helpful if you could provide us a patch and perform the benchmark with Ruby implementation, not a toy benchmark program. Note that builtin ctzl is not available on some compilers. You need to check if it is available or not.

#### #3 - 09/27/2018 10:37 PM - jzakiya (Jabari Zakiya)

Hi

I just submitted this issue feature request:

https://bugs.ruby-lang.org/issues/15172

[jzakiya@jabari-pc ~]\$ ./gcd2

to deal with the issue of using (or not) the \_\_builtin\_ctz compiler directive.

I implemented code that mimicked it that also greatly increases the ruby gcd performance. I included the new code and benchmarks to the gist I previously linked.

### https://gist.github.com/jzakiya/44eae4feeda8f6b048e19ff41a0c6566

```
gcd between numbers in [1 and 2000]
gcdwikipedia7fast32 : time = 73
gcdwikipedia4fast : time = 113
gcdFranke : time = 133
qcdwikipedia3fast : time = 139
gcdwikipedia2fastswap : time = 162
gcdwikipedia5fast : time = 140
gcdwikipedia7fast
                   :
                      time = 129
                  : time = 161
gcdwikipedia2fast
gcdwikipedia6fastxchg : time = 145
gcdwikipedia2fastxchg : time = 168
gcd_iterative_mod : time = 230
gcd_recursive
                   : time = 232
basicgcd
                   : time = 234
rubygcd
                   : time = 305
gcdwikipedia2
                   : time = 312
gcdwikipedia7fast32_a : time = 129
gcdwikipedia4fast_a : time = 149
                   : time = 193
rubygcd_a
             : time = 169
rubygcd_b
gcd between numbers in [1000000001 and 1000002000]
gcdwikipedia7fast32 : time = 76
gcdwikipedia4fast : time = 106
gcdwikipedia5fast : time = 126
gcdwikipedia7fast
                  : time = 118
                   : time = 148
gcdwikipedia2fast
gcdwikipedia6fastxchg:
                      time = 134
gcdwikipedia2fastxchg : time = 154
gcd_iterative_mod : time = 215
gcd_recursive
                   : time = 214
basicgcd
                   : time = 220
                   : time = 287
rubygcd
               : time = 289
gcdwikipedia2
gcdwikipedia7fast32_a : time = 116
gcdwikipedia4fast_a : time = 142
```

11/16/2025 3/5

```
rubygcd_a : time = 180
rubygcd_b : time = 155
```

Note using the \_\_builtin\_ctz mimicking code, instead of the directive itself, still makes the gcdwikipedia7fast32\_a the third fastest version, and obviously the preferred implementation if not using \_\_builtin\_ctz.

I present this in asking you how you want me to proceed, because I don't really know C code and how to do PRs to Ruby. If you can lay out a detailed process for me to do that maybe I can assess what is in my capacity to do.

At minimum, the code for rubygcd\_a could|can be incorporated into the codebase without dealing right now with the \_\_builtin\_ctz directive issue.

## #4 - 09/28/2018 12:48 AM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)

No, no. You can just use \_\_builtin\_ctzl when it is available. All you need is check if it is available or not, and keep the original code for the case where \_\_builtin\_ctzl is unavailable. Gcc and clang provide it, so it is actually available in almost all cases. Even if \_\_builtin\_ctzl is unavailable, it should still build and work, but the performance does not matter, I think.

Ruby is already using builtin ctz and builtin ctzll. See configure.ac and internal.h.

### #5 - 12/28/2018 06:23 PM - ahorek (Pavel Rosický)

your micro-benchmarks aren't always fair, because some algorithms don't handle all edge cases, different data types etc.

for jruby I choose a different algorithm that is slightly slower than the fastest gcdwikipedia7fast32 (~15%) but in my opinion more readable. https://github.com/iruby/iruby/blob/1d0c3d643a6841f388e646678ee243bff571450c/core/src/main/java/org/iruby/util/Numeric.java#L512

here's the PR (gcdwikipedia7fast32 + minor changes) https://github.com/ruby/ruby/pull/2060

and some ruby numbers (benchmark https://github.com/ruby/ruby/pull/1596)

all variants tested on AMD FX 8300 8C and gcc version 8.1.0 (Ubuntu 8.1.0-5ubuntu1~14.04) ruby 2.7.0dev (2018-12-28 trunk 66617) [x86\_64-linux]

```
Time#subsec 2.969M (\pm 9.6%) i/s - 14.733M in 5.010950s Time#- 5.716M (\pm11.4%) i/s - 28.103M in 5.000934s
                                        28.103M in 5.000934s
    Time#-
 Time#round 400.712k (±11.9%) i/s -
                                          1.992M in 5.046665s
 Time#to_f 6.422M (±10.5%) i/s -
                                         31.613M in 4.999488s
 Time#to_r
                                         11.124M in 26.577M in
                2.251M (±10.4%) i/s -
                                                      5.002516s
 Rational#+
                5.377M (±10.1%) i/s -
                                                      5.001636s
 Rational#-
               5.542M (± 9.5%) i/s -
                                         27.419M in 5.001546s
 Rational#*
               6.341M (± 9.5%) i/s -
                                         31.390M in 5.002212s
 gcd 6.922M (± 9.0%) i/s - 34.285M in 5.001389s
```

#### trunk + new gcd

```
Time#subsec 3.348M (± 8.9%) i/s - 16.592M in 4.999620s / 1.13

Time#- 5.840M (±11.6%) i/s - 28.728M in 5.000946s / 1.02

Time#round 468.770k (±12.5%) i/s - 2.319M in 5.028050s / 1.17
     Time#- 5.840M (±11.0%, 1,0
0#round 468.770k (±12.5%) i/s -
                 6.713M (± 9.8%) i/s -
3.191M (± 7.9%) i/s -
                                                   33.214M in 4.999639s / 1.05
  Time#to_f
  Time#to_r
                                                   15.884M in 5.010305s / 1.42
 Rational#+
                   5.893M (±10.6%) i/s -
                                                   29.082M in 4.999884s / 1.10
                                                     30.443M in
 Rational#-
                     6.183M (±11.2%) i/s -
                                                                     4.999746s / 1.12
 Rational#*
                     7.069M (±10.5%) i/s -
                                                     34.922M in
                                                                     5.001804s / 1.11
       gcd 9.742M (±10.4%) i/s - 48.159M in 5.007085s / 1.40
```

## trunk + new gcd without \_\_builtin\_ctz support

```
Time#subsec 2.699M (± 8.9%) i/s - 13.385M in 5.002527s / 0.89
             5.734M (±10.6%) i/s - 28.224M in 5.002541s / 1.00
    Time#-
Time#round 392.314k (±13.8%) i/s -
                                     1.926M in 5.012040s / 0.98
                                      33.163M in
 Time#to_f 6.725M (\pm 10.5%) i/s -
                                                 4.999346s / 1.04
              2.366M (± 9.1%) i/s -
                                      11.705M in
                                                 5.004491s / 1.05
 Time#to_r
              5.429M (±10.1%) i/s -
                                                 5.006358s / 1.01
                                     26.851M in
Rational#+
Rational#-
              5.544M (± 9.8%) i/s -
                                     27.430M in 5.002418s / 0.98
Rational#*
              6.225M (±10.7%) i/s -
                                      30.833M in 5.018386s / 0.98
 qcd 7.001M (± 7.1%) i/s - 34.855M in 5.006972s / 1.01
```

alternative implementations

jruby 9.2.6.0-SNAPSHOT (2.5.3) 2018-12-27 e51a3e4 Java HotSpot(TM) 64-Bit Server VM 11.0.1+13-LTS on 11.0.1+13-LTS +jit [linux-x86\_64]

```
Time#subsec 5.018M (± 6.3%) i/s - 24.866M in 4.979170s
Time#- 7.868M (± 5.6%) i/s - 39.066M in 4.985576s
```

11/16/2025 4/5

Time#round	3.461M (± 8.1%)	i/s -	17.138M in	4.998527s
Time#to_f	8.198M (± 5.2%)	i/s -	40.775M in	4.990224s
Time#to_r	4.789M (± 6.9%)	i/s -	23.777M in	4.992261s
Rational#+	5.217M (± 6.3%)	i/s -	25.944M in	4.995694s
Rational#-	5.701M (± 7.4%)	i/s -	28.329M in	4.998743s
Rational#*	6.290M (± 6.7%)	i/s -	31.283M in	4.997365s
gcd	7.376M (± 7.2%)	i/s -	36.625M in	4.995073s

# truffleruby 1.0.0-rc10, like ruby 2.4.4, GraalVM CE Native [x86\_64-linux]

Time#subsec	3.541M	(±67.8%)	i/s -	13.706M i	n 4.986699s
Time#-	8.279M	(± 9.4%)	i/s -	38.671M i	n 4.984896s
Time#round	311.696k	(±43.3%)	i/s -	502.226k i	n 4.991276s
Time#to_f	16.719M	(± 9.2%)	i/s -	75.067M i	n 4.981367s
Time#to_r	1.386M	(±21.2%)	i/s -	5.045M i	n 4.993055s
Rational#+	7.332M	(±14.7%)	i/s -	28.100M i	n 4.982371s
Rational#-	7.354M	(±24.3%)	i/s -	22.682M i	n 4.992218s
Rational#*	7.340M	(±19.3%)	i/s -	28.534M i	n 5.003816s
qcd	68.576M	(± 4.7%)	i/s -	326.812M in	n 4.908116s

as you can see Time#to\_r and Integer#gcd is about 40% faster which is the best case scenario even when in your micro-benchmark it was 300% faster.

using the new algorithm without \_\_builtin\_ctz introduced some perf regressions, but they're within margin of error

I don't think this change will have some impact on real application's performance, all of these cases are just micro-benchmarks...

## #6 - 12/28/2018 06:33 PM - ahorek (Pavel Rosický)

- File rational.c.patch added

### **Files**

rational.c.patch 1.22 KB 12/28/2018	ahorek (Pavel Rosický)
-------------------------------------	------------------------

11/16/2025 5/5