

## Ruby - Bug #14909

### Method call with object that has to\_hash method crashes (method with splat and keyword arguments)

07/12/2018 07:37 AM - johannes\_luedke (Johannes Lüdke)

<b>Status:</b> Closed	
<b>Priority:</b> Normal	
<b>Assignee:</b>	
<b>Target version:</b>	
<b>ruby -v:</b> ruby 2.4.1p111 (2017-03-22 revision 58053) [x86_64-darwin17]	<b>Backport:</b> 2.3: UNKNOWN, 2.4: UNKNOWN, 2.5: UNKNOWN
<b>Description</b>	
<p>In a method with a splat method argument followed by a keyword argument, it leads to an ArgumentError when calling the method with an object that reacts to to_hash</p> <pre>def my_func(*objects, error_code: 400)   objects.inspect end  class Test   def to_hash     # an example hash     { to_hash_key: "to_hash" }   end end  my_func(Test.new)</pre> <p>Observed result: an exception is raised: in my_func: unknown keyword: to_hash_key (ArgumentError) Expected result: [#&lt;Test:0x007fc8c9825318&gt;] is returned by the my_func call</p> <p>It should behave the same when calling with objects that have a to_hash method and objects that don't, shouldn't it?</p>	
<b>Related issues:</b>	
Related to Ruby - Feature #14930: sample/trick2018	<b>Closed</b>

### History

#### #1 - 07/12/2018 11:21 AM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)

- Status changed from Open to Feedback

In the plan of Ruby 3 ([#14183](#)), keyword arguments will be separated from other kinds of arguments. If this plan is implemented successfully, you can use my\_func(Test.new) and my\_func(\*\*Test.new) for each purpose. If you call my\_func(Test.new), the argument will be passed as a part of the rest parameter objects. If you call my\_func(\*\*Test.new), the argument will be handled as a keyword parameter.

So, I'd like to propose keeping the current behavior as is, because changing the semantics will bring extra complexity. Instead, just wait for Ruby 3.

#### #2 - 07/12/2018 12:29 PM - Eregon (Benoit Daloze)

At least, it behaves the same if passing a keyword arguments directly:

```
def my_func(*objects, error_code: 400)
  objects.inspect
end

my_func(to_hash_key: "to_hash") # => unknown keyword: to_hash_key (ArgumentError)
```

So this has nothing to do with the to\_hash conversion.

One way to workaround this is:

```
def my_func(*objects, error_code: 400, **kwargs)
  kwargs
end

p my_func(to_hash_key: "to_hash") # => {:to_hash_key=>"to_hash"}
```

So adding a keyrest argument (\*\*kwargs), because there is already a keyword argument which means keywords have to fit in the declared keyword args, unless there is a keyrest arg.

### #3 - 07/13/2018 04:52 AM - funny\_falcon (Yura Sokolov)

Why your object has to\_hash method?

Ruby uses long named methods for implicit conversion: to\_str - if your object should act as a string, to\_int - if your object should act as an integer, to\_ary - if your object should act as an array. Looks like same for to\_hash.

For explicit conversion short names are used: to\_s, to\_i, to\_a, to\_h.

### #4 - 07/13/2018 03:18 PM - johannes\_luedke (Johannes Lüdke)

<https://bugs.ruby-lang.org/issues/14909#note-2> doesn't resolve the issue for me

Why your object has to\_hash method?

the objects in question are instances of Dry::Validation::Result (dry-validation gem)

So, I'd like to propose keeping the current behavior as is, because changing the semantics will bring extra complexity. Instead, just wait for Ruby 3.

Could this maybe be highlighted in the docs -- to be careful when passing objects that respond to to\_hash when there are keyword arguments?

In order to make it work both ways, my\_func(obj1, obj2, error\_code: 422) as well as my\_func(obj1, obj2) with a default value for error\_code, I ended up doing this workaround:

```
def my_func(*args)
  opts, objects = args.partition { |el| el.is_a? Hash }
  error_code = opts&.first&.fetch(:error_code, nil) || 400
```

It would be cool if ruby would support that out of the box though.

### #5 - 07/22/2018 06:35 AM - znz (Kazuhiro NISHIYAMA)

- Related to Feature #14930: sample/trick2018 added

### #6 - 09/02/2019 04:48 AM - jeremyevans0 (Jeremy Evans)

- Status changed from Feedback to Closed

The changes in [#14183](#) solve this issue. You will now get warnings:

```
my_func(Test.new)
# (irb):101: warning: The last argument is used as the keyword parameter
# (irb):92: warning: for `my_func' defined here
# ArgumentError (unknown keyword: :to_hash_key)
```

In Ruby 3, this will be passed as a positional argument. To get the Ruby 3 behavior with the master branch:

```
my_func(Test.new, **({}))
```

### #7 - 02/06/2020 01:25 PM - AlexWayfer (Alexander Popov)

There are problems with Sequel Models, which have #to\_hash method (implicit conversion), and Memery gem, which defines methods with \*args, \*\*kwargs, &block (\*\*kwargs was added after warning from Ruby 2.7).

So, Sequel Models as arguments for memoized methods becomes Hashes and it breaks everything.

The \*\*({}) approach is:

- unclear for me (what it does in Ruby?);
- additional changes at every call;
- offenses RuboCop (should be resolved there, yes).

I'll try to make dynamic methods definition in Memery with exactly expected parameters instead of \*args, \*\*kwargs, &block, but it's harder.

And, probably, impossible at all, like define\_method(:bar) do [\*instance\_method(:foo).parameters]. Only eval, I guess.

### #8 - 02/06/2020 02:22 PM - jeremyevans0 (Jeremy Evans)

AlexWayfer (Alexander Popov) wrote in [#note-7](#):

There are problems with Sequel Models, which have `#to_hash` method (implicit conversion), and Memery gem, which defines methods with `*args`, `**kwargs`, `&block` (`**kwargs` was added after warning from Ruby 2.7).

Memery may want to switch to using `ruby2_keywords` instead.

So, Sequel Models as arguments for memoized methods becomes Hashes and it breaks everything.

The `**({})` approach is:

- unclear for me (what it does in Ruby?);

It passes empty keywords, so that the final positional object is not treated as a hash.

- additional changes at every call;

Should not be necessary with the `ruby2_keywords` approach.

- offends RuboCop (should be resolved there, yes).

I'll try to make dynamic methods definition in Memery with exactly expected parameters instead of `*args`, `**kwargs`, `&block`, but it's harder.

And, probably, impossible at all, like `define_method(:bar) do [*instance_method(:foo).parameters]`. Only eval, I guess.

`*args`, `&block` as arguments and `ruby2_keywords :bar` after defining the method should work, and that is the approach I would recommend.