## Ruby - Bug #21541

## make install is failing due to using rdoc repo

08/13/2025 01:25 PM - MSP-Greg (Greg L)

Status: Closed

Priority: Normal

Assignee:

Target version:

ruby -v: master

Backport: 3.2: UNKNOWN, 3.3: UNKNOWN, 3.4:

Description

Currently, ruby-dev-builder & ruby-loco are failing due to <a href="https://github.com/ruby/ruby/commit/8f6f9e88c70bbae">https://github.com/ruby/ruby/commit/8f6f9e88c70bbae</a>, which changed the rdoc 'bundled gem' install from using a released gem to the repo. This results in an invalid rdoc installation.

RDoc contains \*.ry and \*.kpeg source files that need to be 'compiled' into \*.rb files with racc and kpeg.

These \*.rb files are not contained in the rdoc repo.

One possible change would be to have rdoc include the compiled \*.rb files in its repo. Puma has a similar issue with ragel files. A GHA workflow (<a href="https://github.com/puma/puma/blob/master/.github/workflows/ragel.yml">https://github.com/puma/puma/blob/master/.github/workflows/ragel.yml</a>) checks that the compiled \*.c file matches its respective \*.rl source file.

Otherwise, a bit of code would be required in Ruby (and install of kpeg), or, rdoc must be installed from a released gem.

### Related issues:

Related to Ruby - Misc #21458: Test 'make install'?

Open

**UNKNOWN** 

#### History

#### #1 - 08/13/2025 08:53 PM - Eregon (Benoit Daloze)

@MSP-Greg Could you make a PR adding a CI job to ruby/ruby that make install + run that <u>cli\_test.rb</u>, like in <a href="https://github.com/ruby/ruby-dev-builder/actions/runs/16946820401/job/48029817265">https://github.com/ruby/ruby-dev-builder/actions/runs/16946820401/job/48029817265</a>?

Then we should catch these issues directly in ruby/ruby which is better.

There is maybe/probably already a CI workflow doing make install, in that case just alter that one to run that extra test.

I think it should be generally agreed that executables shipped with Ruby should work and are worth checking in CI.

Maybe that test could even be part of ruby/spec (and potentially skipped if not make install-ed if that's a problem), but doesn't have to be. Could also be part of test-all under test/ruby or so.

FWIW we already have a similar test in truffleruby:

 $\underline{https://github.com/oracle/truffleruby/blob/6e50bca7441b728207c2fb2bfaa51ae93830f475/spec/truffle/launcher\_spec.rb\#L20-L35/microscopic and the latest and the latest accordance of the latest and the latest accordance of the latest accordance of$ 

# #2 - 08/13/2025 08:57 PM - Eregon (Benoit Daloze)

One idea: probably I should add failures from ruby/ruby-dev-builder as notification to the CRuby Slack in the CI alerts channel, that might be a good way to notice this kind of problem early.

When ruby/ruby-dev-builder fails, in most cases it's an issue in ruby/ruby.

# #3 - 08/14/2025 02:31 AM - MSP-Greg (Greg L)

@Eregon (Benoit Daloze),

I'll look at adding a CLI check to CI.

### #4 - 08/14/2025 11:52 AM - st0012 (Stan Lo)

I think the best solution is to make sure rdoc always commit the generated files with CI checks verifying that they're up to date. prism, rbs, and debug (tho it's for readme) already do this and I don't see much issues caused by it.

Update: prism still doesn't commit those generated files. I misremembered.

### #5 - 08/19/2025 06:26 AM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)

- Related to Misc #21458: Test 'make install'? added

### #6 - 08/19/2025 06:27 AM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)

11/14/2025

I think the issue itself is already fixed. Let's discuss adding a test for make install in  $\underline{#21458}$ 

11/14/2025 2/2