

Ruby - Bug #18907

rb_profile_frames output includes dummy main Thread frame

07/11/2022 01:50 PM - ivoanjo (Ivo Anjo)

Status:	Closed	
Priority:	Normal	
Assignee:		
Target version:		
ruby -v:	ruby 3.2.0dev (2022-07-11T06:58:19Z master fae568edbe) [x86_64-darwin20]	Backport: 2.7: UNKNOWN, 3.0: UNKNOWN, 3.1: UNKNOWN

Description

Howdy! I'm working at Datadog [on the ddtrace gem](#), and we're starting to make use of the rb_profile_frames API for our continuous profiler.

While comparing the output of rb_profile_frames for Thread.main with the regular Thread.main.backtrace_locations I noticed we get **one** more frame at the base of the stack.

This is the dummy frame that gets skipped on backtrace_each (https://github.com/ruby/ruby/blob/2733c049674298cbc2130689a0a40013f3458755/vm_backtrace.c#L890) and rb_ec_partial_backtrace_object (https://github.com/ruby/ruby/blob/2733c049674298cbc2130689a0a40013f3458755/vm_backtrace.c#L605) but is not skipped by rb_profile_frames.

For instance, adding the following test code to test/-ext-/debug/test_profile_frames.rb:

```
def test_compare_apis
  backtrace_locations, profile_frames = [Thread.current.backtrace_locations, Bug::Debug.
profile_frames(0, 100)]

  puts '---'
  puts backtrace_locations.size
  puts backtrace_locations
  puts '---'
  puts profile_frames.size
  profile_frames.each { puts _1.to_s }
  puts '---'
end
```

and then running it with make test/-ext-/debug/test_profile_frames.rb yields:

```
[3/4] TestProfileFrames#test_compare_apis---
27
ruby-master/test/-ext-/debug/test_profile_frames.rb:141:in `backtrace_locations'
ruby-master/test/-ext-/debug/test_profile_frames.rb:141:in `test_compare_apis'
ruby-master/tool/lib/test/unit/testcase.rb:200:in `run_test'
ruby-master/tool/lib/test/unit/testcase.rb:168:in `run'
ruby-master/tool/lib/test/unit.rb:1563:in `block in _run_suite'
ruby-master/tool/lib/test/unit.rb:1550:in `map'
ruby-master/tool/lib/test/unit.rb:1550:in `_run_suite'
ruby-master/tool/lib/test/unit.rb:1343:in `_run_suite'
ruby-master/tool/lib/test/unit.rb:812:in `block in _run_suites'
ruby-master/tool/lib/test/unit.rb:810:in `each'
ruby-master/tool/lib/test/unit.rb:810:in `_run_suites'
ruby-master/tool/lib/test/unit.rb:847:in `_run_suites'
ruby-master/tool/lib/test/unit.rb:1496:in `_run_anything'
ruby-master/tool/lib/test/unit.rb:1280:in `_run_anything'
ruby-master/tool/lib/test/unit.rb:1671:in `run_tests'
ruby-master/tool/lib/test/unit.rb:1658:in `block in _run'
ruby-master/tool/lib/test/unit.rb:1657:in `each'
ruby-master/tool/lib/test/unit.rb:1657:in `_run'
ruby-master/tool/lib/test/unit.rb:1700:in `run'
ruby-master/tool/lib/test/unit.rb:1032:in `run'
```

```

ruby-master/tool/lib/test/unit.rb:880:in `run'
ruby-master/tool/lib/test/unit.rb:154:in `run'
ruby-master/tool/lib/test/unit.rb:1779:in `run'
ruby-master/tool/lib/test/unit.rb:1783:in `run'
ruby-master/tool/test/runner.rb:23:in `'
./test/runner.rb:14:in `require_relative'
./test/runner.rb:14:in `

```

```
["ruby-master/tool/lib/test/unit.rb", "ruby-master/tool/lib/test/unit.rb", "run", "run", "Test::Unit::AutoRunner.run", 1782, "Test::Unit::AutoRunner", true, "run", "Test::Unit::AutoRunner.run", 1783]
["ruby-master/tool/test/runner.rb", "ruby-master/tool/test/runner.rb", "<top (required)>", "<top (required)>", "<top (required)>", 0, nil, false, nil, nil, 23]
[nil, "<cfunc>", nil, nil, "Kernel#require_relative", nil, "Kernel", false, "require_relative", "Kernel#require_relative", 0]
["./test/runner.rb", "ruby-master/test/runner.rb", "<main>", "<main>", "<main>", 0, nil, false, nil, nil, 14] # <--- FRAME IN MAIN
["./test/runner.rb", nil, "<main>", "<main>", "<main>", 0, nil, false, nil, nil, 0] # <--- DUMMY FRAME
---
```

PR with fix: <https://github.com/ruby/ruby/pull/6114>

Associated revisions

Revision 649bfb00d8032fa2c0536e596a284f69926e87f - 07/26/2022 01:43 AM - ivoanjo (Ivo Anjo)

Fix `rb_profile_frames` output includes dummy main thread frame

The `rb_profile_frames` API did not skip the two dummy frames that each thread has at its beginning. This was unlike `backtrace_each` and `rb_ec_partial_backtrace_object`, which do skip them.

This does not seem to be a problem for non-main thread frames, because both `VM_FRAME_RUBYFRAME_P(cfp)` and `rb_vm_frame_method_entry(cfp)` are NULL for them.

BUT, on the main thread `VM_FRAME_RUBYFRAME_P(cfp)` was true and thus the dummy thread was still included in the output of `rb_profile_frames`.

I've now made `rb_profile_frames` skip this extra frame (like `backtrace_each` and friends), as well as add a test that asserts the size and contents of `rb_profile_frames`.

Fixes [Bug #18907] (<https://bugs.ruby-lang.org/issues/18907>)

Revision 649bfb00d8032fa2c0536e596a284f69926e87f - 07/26/2022 01:43 AM - ivoanjo (Ivo Anjo)

Fix `rb_profile_frames` output includes dummy main thread frame

The `rb_profile_frames` API did not skip the two dummy frames that each thread has at its beginning. This was unlike `backtrace_each` and `rb_ec_partial_backtrace_object`, which do skip them.

This does not seem to be a problem for non-main thread frames, because both `VM_FRAME_RUBYFRAME_P(cfp)` and `rb_vm_frame_method_entry(cfp)` are NULL for them.

BUT, on the main thread `VM_FRAME_RUBYFRAME_P(cfp)` was true and thus the dummy thread was still included in the output of `rb_profile_frames`.

I've now made `rb_profile_frames` skip this extra frame (like `backtrace_each` and friends), as well as add a test that asserts the size and contents of `rb_profile_frames`.

Fixes [Bug #18907] (<https://bugs.ruby-lang.org/issues/18907>)

Revision 649bfb00d8032fa2c0536e596a284f69926e87f - 07/26/2022 01:43 AM - ivoanjo (Ivo Anjo)

Fix `rb_profile_frames` output includes dummy main thread frame

The `rb_profile_frames` API did not skip the two dummy frames that each thread has at its beginning. This was unlike `backtrace_each` and `rb_ec_partial_backtrace_object`, which do skip them.

This does not seem to be a problem for non-main thread frames, because both `VM_FRAME_RUBYFRAME_P(cfp)` and `rb_vm_frame_method_entry(cfp)` are NULL for them.

BUT, on the main thread `VM_FRAME_RUBYFRAME_P(cfp)` was true

and thus the dummy thread was still included in the output of `rb_profile_frames`.

I've now made `rb_profile_frames` skip this extra frame (like `backtrace_each` and friends), as well as add a test that asserts the size and contents of `rb_profile_frames`.

Fixes [Bug #18907] (<https://bugs.ruby-lang.org/issues/18907>)

History

#1 - 07/11/2022 02:02 PM - ivoanjo (Ivo Anjo)

- *Description updated*

#2 - 07/22/2022 09:12 AM - ivoanjo (Ivo Anjo)

Update: I've rebased the PR to fix a conflict caused by the tabs-vs-spaces fix :)

#3 - 07/26/2022 01:44 AM - ivoanjo (Ivo Anjo)

- *Status changed from Open to Closed*

Applied in changeset [git|649bfbe00d8032fa2c0536e596a284f69926e87f](https://github.com/ruby/ruby/commit/649bfbe00d8032fa2c0536e596a284f69926e87f).

Fix `rb_profile_frames` output includes dummy main thread frame

The `rb_profile_frames` API did not skip the two dummy frames that each thread has at its beginning. This was unlike `backtrace_each` and `rb_ec_partial_backtrace_object`, which do skip them.

This does not seem to be a problem for non-main thread frames, because both `VM_FRAME_RUBYFRAME_P(cfp)` and `rb_vm_frame_method_entry(cfp)` are NULL for them.

BUT, on the main thread `VM_FRAME_RUBYFRAME_P(cfp)` was true and thus the dummy thread was still included in the output of `rb_profile_frames`.

I've now made `rb_profile_frames` skip this extra frame (like `backtrace_each` and friends), as well as add a test that asserts the size and contents of `rb_profile_frames`.

Fixes [Bug #18907] (<https://bugs.ruby-lang.org/issues/18907>)

#4 - 07/26/2022 01:47 AM - mame (Yusuke Endoh)

I talked with [@ko1 \(Koichi Sasada\)](#) about this issue. It seems to be fine, so I have merged it, thanks!

#5 - 07/26/2022 08:37 AM - ivoanjo (Ivo Anjo)

Awesome, thank you! :)